

| | | | |
|---|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. 10/629,213 | Applicant(s) AUSNIT, STEVEN | |
| | Examiner Jes F. Pascua | Art Unit 3727 | |

All Participants:
Status of Application: _____

 (1) Jes F. Pascua, USPTO.

(3) _____.

 (2) Ronald E. Brown, applicant's representative.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 30 August 2006
Time: 2:11 pm
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

None

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: An Office communication mailed on 08/29/2006 erroneously acknowledged and granted the petition to suspend action under 37 CFR 1.103(a). Applicant's representative was informed that a subsequent communication from the Examiner would result in vacating the decision to grant the suspension in favor of a denial of the petition. Applicant's representative was further informed that filing of the RCE with an IDS on 06/07/2006 is not considered to be a fully responsive submission and the period for reply to the Office action mailed 03/03/2006 is still running..